

2022

Asberger, J., Futterleib, H., **Thomm, E.**, & Bauer, J. (2022). Wie erkennt man Bildungsmythen? Sieben Heuristiken zum Selbsthinterfragen und Weitersagen. In G. Steins, B. Spinath, S., Dutke, M. Roth, & M. Limbourg (Hrsgs.). *Mythen, Fehlvorstellungen, Fehlkonzepte und Irrtümer in Schule und Unterricht* (S. 3-26). Berlin: Springer.

Bromme, R., Mede, N., **Thomm, E.**, Kremer, B., & Ziegler, R. (2022). An anchor in troubled times: Trust in science before and within the COVID-19 pandemic. *PLoS ONE*, *17*(2), e0262823. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262823>

Futterleib, H., **Thomm, E.**, & Bauer, J. (2022). The scientific impotence excuse in education – Disentangling potency and pertinence assessments of educational research. *Frontiers in Education*. doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2022.1006766

Reiser, S., Schacht, L., **Thomm, E.**, Figalist, C., Janssen, L., Schick, K., Dörfler, E., Berberat, P. O., Gartmeier, M., & Bauer, J. (2022). A video-based situational judgment test for medical student's communication competence in patient encounters: Development and first evaluation. *Patient Education and Counseling*, *105* (5), 1283-1289. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2021.08.020>

2021

Asberger, J., **Thomm, E.**, & Bauer, J. (2021). On predictors of misconceptions about educational topics: A case of topic specificity. *PLoS ONE*, *16*(12). e0259878. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0259878>

Kramer, J., **Thomm, E.**, Oschatz-Grant, K., Wagner, W. & Bromme, R. (2021). Test zur Einschätzung wissenschaftlicher Widersprüche (TEWI): Messung wissenschaftsbezogenen Reflexionsvermögens in der Sekundarstufe II. *Zeitschrift für Erziehungswissenschaft*, *24*, 1525–1548. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11618-021-01057-w>

Pieschl, S., Budd, J., **Thomm, E.**, & Archer, J. (2021). Effects of raising student teachers' metacognitive awareness of their educational psychological misconceptions on their misconception correction. *Psychology in Learning and Teaching*, *20*(2), 214-235. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1475725721996223>

Seifried, E., **Thomm, E.**, & Bauer, J. (Guest eds.) (2021). Informing professional practice: (Future) teachers' choice, use and evaluation of (non-)scientific sources of educational topics. [Special Issue]. *Zeitschrift für Pädagogische Psychologie*.

Thomm, E., Gold, B., Betsch, T. & Bauer, J. (2021). When preservice teachers' prior beliefs contradict evidence from educational research. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, *91*(3), e12407. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjep.12407>

Thomm, E., Sälzer, C., Prenzel, M. & Bauer, J. (2021). Predictors of teachers' appreciation of evidence-based practice and educational research findings. *Zeitschrift für Pädagogische Psychologie*, *35*(2-3), 173-184. <https://doi.org/10.1024/1010-0652/a000301>

Thomm, E., Seifried, E. & Bauer, J. (2021). Informing professional practice: (Future) teachers' choice, use and evaluation of (non-)scientific sources of educational topics [Editorial to special issue]. *Zeitschrift für Pädagogische Psychologie*, *35*(2-3), 121-126. <https://doi.org/10.1024/1010-0652/a000301>

2020

Asberger, J., **Thomm, E.**, & Bauer, J. (2020). Empirische Arbeit: Zur Erfassung fragwürdiger Überzeugungen zu Bildungsthemen: Entwicklung und erste Überprüfung des Questionable Beliefs in Education Inventar (QUEBEC). *Psychologie in Erziehung und Unterricht*.
<https://doi.org/10.2378/peu2019.art25d>

Barzilai, S., **Thomm, E.**, & Shlomi-Elooz, T. (2020). Dealing with disagreement: The roles of topic familiarity and disagreement explanation in reasoning about conflicting expert claims and sources. *Learning and Instruction*, 69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2020.101367>
[EARLI Outstanding Publication Award 2021]

Gottschling, S., Kammerer, Y., **Thomm, E.**, & Gerjets, P. (2020). How laypersons consider differences in sources' trustworthiness and expertise in their regulation and resolution of scientific conflicts. *International Journal of Science Education, Part B*, 10(4).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/21548455.2020.1849856>

Schick, K., Reiser, S., Mosene, K., Schacht, L., Jansen, L., **Thomm, E.**, Dinkel, A., Fleischmann, A., Berberat, P. O., Bauer, J. & Gartmeier, M. (2020). How can communicative competence instruction in medical studies be improved through digitalization? *GMS Journal for Medical Education*, 37(6), Doc57. <https://doi.org/10.3205/zma001350>

2018

Kienhues, D., **Thomm, E.**, & Bromme, R. (2018). Specificity reloaded: How multiple layers of specificity influence reasoning in science argument evaluation. In F. Fischer, C. Chinn, K. Engelmann, & J. Osborne (Eds.). *Scientific Reasoning and Argumentation: Domain-Specific and Domain-General Aspects* (pp.251-270). London: Taylor & Francis.

2017

Stadtler, M., Winter, S., Scharrer, L., **Thomm, E.**, Krämer, N. & Bromme, R. (2017). Selektion, Integration und Evaluation: Wie wir das Internet nutzen, wenn wir uns über Wissenschaft informieren wollen. *Psychologische Rundschau*, 68 (3), 177-181. <https://doi.org/10.1026/0033-3042/a000361>

Thomm, E., Barzilai, S., & Bromme, R. (2017). Why do experts disagree? The role of conflict topics and epistemic perspectives in conflict explanations. *Learning and Instruction*, 52, 15-26.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2017.03.008>

2016

Bromme, R. & **Thomm, E.** (2016). Knowing who knows: Laypersons' capabilities to judge experts' pertinence for science topics. *Cognitive Science*, 40, 241–252, <https://doi.org/10.1111/cogs.12252>

Bromme, R., **Thomm, E.**, & Ratermann, K. (2016). Who knows? Explaining impacts on the assessment of our own knowledge and of the knowledge of experts. *Zeitschrift für Pädagogische Psychologie*, 30, 97-108. <https://doi.org/10.1024/1010-0652/a000175>

Thomm, E., & Bromme, R. (2016). How source information shapes lay interpretations of science conflicts: Interplay between sourcing, conflict explanation, source evaluation, and claim evaluation. *Reading and Writing*, 29 (8), 1629–1652. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11145-016-9638-8>

2015

Bromme, R., **Thomm, E.**, & Wolf, V. (2015). From understanding to deference: Laypersons' and medical students' views on conflicts within medicine. *International Journal of Science Education, Part B: Communication and Public Engagement*, 5 (1), 68-91.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/21548455.2013.849017>

Thomm, E., Hentschke, J., & Bromme, R. (2015). The Explaining Conflicting Scientific Claims (ECSC) Questionnaire: Measuring Laypersons' Explanations for Conflicts in Science. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 37, 139-152. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2014.12.001>

2012

Thomm, E., & Bromme, R. (2012). "It should at least seem scientific!" Textual features of "scientificness" and their impact on lay assessments of online information. *Science Education*, 96 (2), 197-2011. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.20480>